

IN THE _____ JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT,
_____ COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH

COURT'S ADDRESS: _____

_____ Petitioner, v. _____ Defendant.	QUALIFIED DOMESTIC RELATIONS ORDER Plan Participant Not in Pension Pay Status Case No. _____ Judge _____
---	---

It is the intent of this Court that the provisions of this domestic relations order ("Order") operate as an effective assignment of the Participant's interest in the Pension Fund set forth below to the Alternate Payee under both state and federal laws, for all purposes, and constitute a Qualified Domestic Relations Order ("QDRO") in compliance with Section 401(a)(9) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended ("Code") and Section 203(d) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ("ERISA").

The parties having stipulated to the entry of this order, and the Court being otherwise fully advised in the premises, it is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

1. This Court has personal jurisdiction over both parties to this proceeding, and jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Order hereafter referred to as "Order".
2. This Order is intended to be a Qualified Domestic Relations Order as that term is defined in Internal Revenue Code Section 414(p) (Internal Revenue Code of 1986) and the Retirement Equity Act of 1984, Public Law 98-397 (hereafter referred to as "the Act").
3. The parties were married on _____
4. Plan: This Order shall apply to the _____ (the "Plan"), subject to the limitations and restrictions on benefits as set forth under Section 415 and Section 401(a)(17) of the Code. The Plan Administrator is the _____
5. The Plan Participant is: _____

Mailing Address: _____

Social Security Number: _____